In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

CWP No. 922 of 2007

Date of Decision: 30.01.2007

Kavita

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

....Respondents.

Coram:- Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S. Khehar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.D. Anand.

Present: Mr. Surinder Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sindhu, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

...

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral).

The solitary contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is, that the respondents should entertain the sale-deed submitted by the petitioner for registration and pass an appropriate order thereon, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the respondents states, that he has no objection in accepting the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, as has been noticed in the foregoing paragraph.

In view of the above, the documents presented by the petitioner for registration, shall be entertained, and in case, the same are not to be registered, a well reasoned speaking order be passed within three weeks

from the date of submission of the said documents by the petitioner.

Writ petition stands disposed of in view of the statements made by the learned counsel for the parties.

Order dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge.

(S.D. Anand)
Judge.

30.01.2007
sk.